

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,630	09/22/2003	David A. Brown	AGI-131.2US	1193
23520	7590 09/10		EXAMINER	
MAURICE I		NUTTER, NATHAN M		
FAIRFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1711		
		DATE MAIL ED: 00/10/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4.3		pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
			0/667,630	BROWN ET AL.	Т			
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		caminer	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu		athan M. Nutter	the correspondence as	-1-1			
Period fo		Nicauon appears	On the cover Sheet with	the correspondence ad	1aress			
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN mainsions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (b) period for reply is specified above, the maximum some ure to reply within the set or extended period for replace to reply within the set or extended period for replace to reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within  statutory period will apply will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a reply in the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS se the application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 80) days will be considered timel S from the mailing date of this c DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on .						
		2b)⊠ This acti	ion is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn fr			·			
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 Septemb</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected the properties of the oath or declaration is objected to the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specif	oer 2003 is/are: ection to the drawing the correction is	ving(s) be held in abeyance. s required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ <sub>(</sub> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents hav y documents hav s of the priority d onal Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in Appl documents have been rec CT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No. <u>09/085,91</u> ceived in this National				
Attachment	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date			mary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,630

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,724. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the employment of bicyclic terpene diols as a treatment composition for skin is shown. The increase of melanin content of the treated cells would be a direct result of such treatment.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,214,888. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims the treatment of skin cells with the contemplated bicyclic terpene diols, as recited herein. The uses are identical. The inclusion of a prodrug would have been within the skill of an artisan.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,630

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,267,948. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims the uses and compounds as herein recited. Inclusion of other constituents, including prodrugs would be obvious since it does not affect the treatment using the bicyclic terpene diols, as recited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

6 September 2004